

## **Explanatory Notes on the 2003 Poverty Estimates**

These notes were prepared to address the various questions raised regarding the validity of the 2003 poverty estimates, specifically on: (1) the seeming inconsistency between the trends in the poverty statistics of the NSCB and the results of the Family Income and Expenditures Survey (FIES) of the National Statistics Office (NSO); and (2) the inconsistency between the trends in poverty incidence and the gross regional domestic product (GRDP) also being released by the NSCB.

The proceeding discussions would show that the perceived inconsistencies lie on the following: (a) looking only at the overall average income instead of looking at the income of the lower income groups; (b) use of inappropriate deflator to express income in real terms; (c) use of family income instead of per capita income as indicator for analyzing poverty; (d) inadequate understanding of what GRDP really is and its coverage. It is hoped that users of poverty statistics will carefully take note of the points raised here to avoid further confusion and misinterpretation of the poverty estimates.

### **A. Trends in poverty incidence should be analyzed vis-à-vis changes in the real income of lower income groups instead of changes in the overall real average income**

- When analyzing poverty incidence, it is not sufficient to look at the overall real income since poverty incidence is affected only by changes in the real earnings of the lower income groups, particularly, those whose per capita incomes are closest to the poverty threshold.
- As Table 1 would show for example, poverty incidence in NCR decreased notwithstanding the 6.5 percent drop in the overall real per capita income. A look at the income distribution would reveal that the real average per capita income of families in the lowest income group, which includes families with per capita incomes closest to the poverty threshold, did increase from 2000 to 2003 lending support to the improved poverty incidence despite the decline in the overall real per capita income. (Note that poverty incidence in 2000 and 2003 are 5.7 and 5.0 percent, respectively, which both fall under the first income decile. This means that it is in this income group where families with per capita incomes closest to the poverty threshold can be found. Families in the lower income portion of the group are classified as poor and those in the higher income portion are classified as non-poor. Therefore, changes in poverty incidence should be analyzed vis-à-vis the trends in the per capita income of this income group.)
- A similar consistent pattern between the trend in the poverty incidence and the trend in the real per capita income of the appropriate income group was observed at the national level and at the regional level except in Region X as shown in Appendix Table A.

**Table 1: Poverty Incidence, Poverty Threshold, and REAL Per Capita Income<sup>a</sup> by Decile, NCR, 2000 and 2003**

Decile	NCR		
	2000	2003	% inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>(0.7)</b>
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	<b>15,693</b>	<b>16,796</b>	<b>7.0</b>
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	<b>59,196</b>	<b>55,328</b>	<b>(6.5)</b>
First decile	14,384	15,109	<b>5.0</b>
Second decile	21,004	22,331	<b>6.3</b>
Third decile	26,182	27,773	<b>6.1</b>
Fourth decile	31,747	32,720	<b>3.1</b>
Fifth decile	38,148	38,723	<b>1.5</b>
Sixth decile	46,073	46,198	<b>0.3</b>
Seventh decile	56,547	56,039	<b>(0.9)</b>
Eighth decile	71,504	71,181	<b>(0.5)</b>
Ninth decile	99,912	98,787	<b>(1.1)</b>
Tenth decile	258,784	225,195	<b>(13.0)</b>

a/ Real per capita income for 2003 was derived by deflating each family's 2003 nominal per capita income by the implicit price index, which, in turn, was computed by dividing the 2003 poverty threshold by the 2000 provincial poverty threshold.

Sources of data:

- Poverty incidence and threshold - NSCB
- Nominal per capita income - NSO

## **B. Inappropriateness of using CPI as income deflator in analyzing poverty**

- In the FIES results released by the NSO, income in real terms is computed by deflating the nominal income by the consumer price index (CPI). However, for examining the trends in poverty incidence, CPI would not be an appropriate deflator.
- The main reason why the use of CPI is inappropriate in analyzing poverty trends is the differences in market baskets/commodities covered by the CPI and the poverty threshold. Further, the manner of deflating (that is, deflating just the average income of the decile group instead of deflating individual family income by the appropriate CPI and then obtaining the distribution of the real income at the family level) adds to the seeming inconsistency between the FIES and the poverty estimates.

### **B.1 Differences in market baskets/commodities covered by the CPI and the poverty threshold**

- As shown in Table 2, CPI and poverty threshold (PT) increased at different rates from 2000 to 2003. In particular, CPI rose faster than PT both at the national level and at the regional level, except in Regions VIII and IX. This is due to the differences in the market baskets/commodities covered by the CPI and the PT.
- Table 3 shows the differences in the market baskets/commodities covered by the CPI and the PT. The CPI has a wider coverage of items that includes non-basic commodities as opposed to the PT, which covers only the expenditures on basic necessities. Items

considered non-basic, such as alcoholic beverages, tobacco, recreation, durable furniture and equipment, taxes, and miscellaneous expenditures, are excluded from the PT. Moreover, for those expenditure groups that are covered by both CPI and PT (e.g., food, transportation and communication, household operations, personal care and effects, etc.), PT has a narrower coverage of items since it is limited only to those commonly purchased by poor families. For this reason, it would not be appropriate to use the CPI as deflator of per capita income in analyzing changes in poverty incidence.

**Table 2: CPI and Poverty Threshold by Region, 2000 and 2003**

Region	CPI (2000 = 100)			Per Capita Poverty Threshold (PT)			%Change in CPI - %Change in PT
	2000	2003	% Change in CPI	2000	2003	%Change in PT	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
<b>PHILIPPINES</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>113.9</b>	<b>13.9</b>	<b>11,451.16</b>	<b>12,267</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>6.8</b>
NCR	100.0	114.8	14.8	15,693	16,796	7.0	7.8
I	100.0	112.9	12.9	12,685	13,276	4.7	8.2
II	100.0	112.2	12.2	11,128	11,409	2.5	9.7
III	100.0	111.3	11.3	13,760	14,342	4.2	7.1
Calabarzon (IV-A)	100.0	114.7	14.7	13,657	14,616	7.0	7.7
Mimaropa (IV-B)	100.0	112.5	12.5	11,995	12,406	3.4	9.1
V	100.0	112.9	12.9	11,372	12,354	8.6	4.3
VI	100.0	113.6	13.6	11,313	12,275	8.5	5.1
VII	100.0	119.7	19.7	9,656	9,779	1.3	18.4
VIII	100.0	112.0	12.0	9,518	10,802	13.5	(1.5)
IX	100.0	110.1	10.1	9,116	10,414	14.2	(4.1)
X	100.0	113.6	13.6	10,503	11,609	10.5	3.1
XI	100.0	112.8	12.8	10,264	11,276	9.9	2.9
XII	100.0	111.3	11.3	10,466	11,303	8.0	3.3
CAR	100.0	115.2	15.2	13,066	13,976	7.0	8.2
ARMM	100.0	114.7	14.7	12,192	12,739	4.5	10.2
Caraga	100.0	112.1	12.1	10,896	12,000	10.1	2.0

Sources of data:  
 CPI - NSO  
 Poverty threshold - NSCB

## B.2 Manner of deflating

- In the released FIES results, the regional real average income for each income group (decile) is obtained by deflating the nominal average income for that decile by the regional CPI. However, a more precise way of obtaining the real income would be to deflate the individual nominal income of each family by the provincial CPI and then obtain the distribution of real income at the family level.
- As shown on Table 4, the use of regional CPI results in an inconsistent pattern between the trends from 2000 to 2003 in the country's poverty incidence and the real per capita income of the third lowest income group, that is, poverty incidence dropped by 2.7 percentage points despite the decline, although minimal, in the average real per capita income of the income group whose per capita incomes are closest to the poverty

threshold. In fact, a reduction was observed in the average real per capita income of all income groups. However, using provincial CPI, it becomes evident that the improvement in poverty incidence was mainly due to the positive growth in the average real per capita income of the third lowest income group, notwithstanding the 4.4 percent drop in the overall real per capita income.

**Table 3: Comparison of the Market Baskets/Commodity Coverage of the CPI and Poverty Threshold**

<b>Expenditure Item</b>	<b>CPI</b>	<b>Poverty Threshold (PT)</b>
Food	included	included
Alcoholic Beverages	included	excluded
Tobacco	included	excluded
Fuel, light and water	included	included
Transportation and Communication	included	included
Household operations	included	included
Personal care and effects	included	included
Clothing, Footwear and Other wear	included	included
Education	included	included
Recreation	included	excluded
Medical care	included	included
Non-durable furnishings	included	included
Durable furniture and equipment	included	excluded
Rent/rental value of occupied dwelling unit	included	included
House maintenance and minor repairs	included	included
Taxes paid	included	excluded
Miscellaneous expenditures		excluded
Special occasions of the family	included	
Gifts and contributions to others	excluded	
Other expenditures	excluded	excluded

Sources of data:  
 CPI - NSO  
 Poverty threshold - NSCB

- The complete tables by region using national/regional CPI and provincial CPI are found in Appendix Tables B.1 and B.2, respectively. The use of regional CPI results in inconsistent patterns at the national level and in NCR, Regions IV-A and V. On the other hand, the use of provincial CPI results in inconsistent patterns only in NCR and Region IV-A.

**C. Per capita income versus family income as the more relevant indicator for analyzing poverty**

- For purposes of poverty analysis, per capita income is the more relevant indicator than average family income since in the computation of poverty incidence, the per capita income is compared with the per capita poverty threshold instead of comparing family income with the

poverty threshold for the family. Another obvious reason is that two families, with the same level of family income may have different poverty status – one nonpoor, the other poor, if one family has a small size while the other is very large. In this sense, the per capita income of the family, rather than the total family income would provide a better indicator of the family's poverty status.

**Table 4: Real Per Capita Income (national/regional CPI versus provincial CPI as deflator) by Decile, Philippines, 2000 and 2003**

Decile	Using National CPI <sup>a</sup>			Using Provincial CPI <sup>b</sup>		
	2000	2003	%change	2000	2003	%change
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	27.5	24.7	(2.7)	27.5	24.7	(2.7)
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	11,451	12,267	7.1	11,451	12,267	7.1
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	28,356	27,016	(4.7)	28,356	27,104	(4.4)
First decile	5,435	5,255	(3.3)	5,435	5,295	(2.6)
Second decile	8,276	8,257	(0.2)	8,276	8,307	0.4
Third decile	10,795	10,793	(0.0)	10,795	10,848	0.5
Fourth decile	13,675	13,598	(0.6)	13,675	13,657	(0.1)
Fifth decile	17,076	16,978	(0.6)	17,076	17,057	(0.1)
Sixth decile	21,557	21,348	(1.0)	21,557	21,458	(0.5)
Seventh decile	27,747	27,330	(1.5)	27,747	27,439	(1.1)
Eighth decile	36,693	35,920	(2.1)	36,693	36,051	(1.8)
Ninth decile	52,410	51,133	(2.4)	52,410	51,296	(2.1)
Tenth decile	127,068	118,117	(7.0)	127,068	118,250	(6.9)

a/ - Derived by dividing the average nominal per capita income for each decile by the national CPI

b/ - Each family's national per capita income was deflated by the provincial CPI

Sources of data:

Poverty incidence and threshold - NSCB

Nominal per capita income - NSO

- Presented in Appendix Tables A and C are the complete regional tables of real per capita income and real family income, respectively, by income decile. Consistent patterns between the trends in poverty incidence and the real per capita income are achieved in all regions except in Region X. On the other hand, the use of real family income results in inconsistent patterns in NCR, Region V and ARMM.

**D. Analysis of trends in poverty incidence versus average per capita income (at constant prices using implicit price index as deflator) by region**

- Using 2000 as base year, an implicit deflator was computed by assuming "real" poverty threshold to be the same in 2000 and 2003. This implicit deflator was then used to convert the 2003 per capita income into real terms (that is, at 2000 prices). The resulting real per capita incomes using the implicit price index as deflator are shown on Appendix Table A.

- Consistent patterns are achieved, that is, changes in poverty incidence are explained by the trends observed on the real per capita income of the appropriate lower income group, except in Region X. Also, the use of the implicit price index resulted in higher real per capita incomes for 2003, thus, reversing the trends observed for most regions (either in the overall real per capita income or in the real per capita income by decile) from 2000 to 2003 using the CPI as deflator.
- At the national level, poverty incidence was reduced due to the positive growth in the real per capita income of the third lowest income group. This means that the increase in the prices of basic necessities was matched by an even higher rate of increase in the real per capita income of some families in the said income group, thus, improving their command over basic necessities.
- For Regions I, II, III, IV-A, V, VI, VII, VIII, XII, CAR and ARMM, the decline in poverty incidence could be explained by the rise in the average real per capita income of the lower income groups in these regions, particularly those whose per capita incomes are closest to the poverty thresholds. It was in these income groups that some families were able to increase their per capita income sufficiently to match the rising cost of basic necessities.
- While overall real per capita income decreased in NCR, poverty incidence dropped nonetheless since the average real per capita income of the lowest income group went up. Thus, some families in this income group managed to cope better with the increasing cost of basic necessities.
- Poverty incidence in Regions IX, XI and CARAGA increased despite the expansion in the overall real per capita income. An examination of the distribution by income group revealed that this is explained by the decline in the real per capita income of the lower income groups in these regions.
- Poverty incidence in Region IV-B worsened as a result of the negative growth in the real per capita income of families in the fourth lowest income group. Real per capita income of families in this income group declined on the average, which means that the cost of basic necessities rose faster than their per capita income.
- Poverty incidence in Region X increased, although only by a very negligible rate, that is, 0.02, with the decline in the overall real per capita income by 2.4 percent. A look though at the income distribution by decile revealed that the real per capita income of the fourth lowest income group, where families whose per capita incomes are closest to the poverty threshold are found, even rose slightly by 1.1 percent and did not decrease as would have been expected with the increase in poverty incidence.

**E. Growth rate of average per capita income (at current prices) versus the growth rate of the poverty threshold provides an alternative way to analyze trends in poverty incidence**

- Another way of validating changes in poverty incidence would be to compare the growth rate from 2000 to 2003 of the nominal average per capita income as against that of the poverty threshold.
- At the national level, the average per capita income increased faster than the poverty threshold (Table 5), indicating that on the average, the increase in per capita income was more than sufficient to match the increase in the cost of basic food and non-food requirements. Moreover, the average per capita income of the three lowest income groups, where the country's poor belongs, rose at a higher rate than the poverty threshold as shown in Appendix Table D. This means that poor families were able to cope better despite the increase in the cost of basic necessities, thereby improving their living conditions. Thus, the 2.7 percentage point reduction in national poverty incidence from 2000 to 2003 is plausible.

**Table 5: Poverty Incidence, NOMINAL Average Per Capita Income, and Poverty Threshold by Region, 2000 and 2003**

Region	Poverty Incidence (Families)			NOMINAL Average Per Capita Income (APCI)			Per Capita Poverty Threshold (PT)			%Change in APCI- %Change in PT
	2000	2003	Change	2000	2003	%Change	2000	2003	%Change	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
<b>PHILIPPINES</b>	<b>27.5</b>	<b>24.7</b>	<b>(2.7)</b>	<b>28,356</b>	<b>30,772</b>	<b>8.5</b>	<b>11,451</b>	<b>12,267</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>
NCR	5.7	5.0	(0.7)	59,196	58,772	(0.7)	15,693	16,796	7.0	(7.7)
I	29.4	24.4	(5.0)	23,703	26,120	10.2	12,685	13,276	4.7	5.5
II	25.2	19.3	(5.9)	22,748	27,014	18.8	11,128	11,409	2.5	16.2
III	17.3	13.7	(3.6)	29,462	32,562	10.5	13,760	14,342	4.2	6.3
Calabarzon (IV-A)	15.2	14.9	(0.4)	35,920	39,227	9.2	13,657	14,616	7.0	2.2
Mimaropa (IV-B)	36.3	39.7	3.4	19,498	20,112	3.1	11,995	12,406	3.4	(0.3)
V	45.3	40.5	(4.8)	17,743	20,984	18.3	11,372	12,354	8.6	9.6
VI	36.6	31.3	(5.3)	21,332	23,546	10.4	11,313	12,275	8.5	1.9
VII	31.5	23.7	(7.8)	19,721	24,704	25.3	9,656	9,779	1.3	24.0
VIII	37.5	35.5	(1.9)	18,455	20,862	13.0	9,518	10,802	13.5	(0.4)
IX	38.5	44.1	5.6	17,092	19,424	13.6	9,116	10,414	14.2	(0.6)
X	37.9	37.9	0.0	20,685	22,195	7.3	10,503	11,609	10.5	(3.2)
XI	27.7	28.1	0.4	21,143	23,816	12.6	10,264	11,276	9.9	2.8
XII	40.7	32.0	(8.7)	19,562	23,915	22.3	10,466	11,303	8.0	14.3
CAR	30.7	24.8	(5.9)	26,930	31,929	18.6	13,066	13,976	7.0	11.6
ARMM	53.7	45.7	(8.0)	13,657	16,404	20.1	12,192	12,739	4.5	15.6
Caraga	43.7	47.3	3.6	15,848	17,523	10.6	10,896	12,000	10.1	0.4

Sources of data:

Poverty incidence and threshold - NSCB  
Average per capita income - NSO

- For NCR, although the cost of basic necessities went up faster than the overall per capita income, poverty incidence decreased nonetheless since the increase in the average per capita income of families in the lowest income group, where all of the poor in the metropolis belongs, was more than sufficient to match the rising cost of basic necessities in the region. This contributed to the reduction in Metro Manila's poverty incidence. In fact, the decrease in

the region's overall per capita income can actually be attributed to those in the highest income group, which could not have affected the estimate of poverty incidence.

- Similarly, for Region VIII, while the cost of basic requirements grew faster than the overall per capita income, poverty incidence dropped nonetheless since the rise in the average per capita income of families in the lower income groups, particularly those in the fourth lowest income group, were more than enough to cover the increasing cost of basic requirements in the region.
- For Regions IV-B, IX, and X, poverty incidence worsened (although only by a negligible rate in the case of Region X, that is, by 0.02 percent) since the increase in the overall per capita income was not sufficient to cope with the rising cost of basic necessities. In fact, the average per capita income of families went down from 2000 to 2003 for the third, fourth and fifth lowest income groups in Region IV-B, and for the three lowest income groups in Region IX.
- For Region XI, while the overall per capita income increased faster than the cost of basic commodities, poverty incidence increased nonetheless due to the insufficient growth in the average per capita income of the third lowest income group. That is, improvements in the income of most families in the said income group were not enough to cope with the rising cost of basic necessities in the region. In fact, the increase in the overall per capita income of the region was contributed mainly by the upper income groups.
- A similar trend was observed in Caraga. Despite the faster growth in the overall per capita income as against the cost of basic commodities, poverty incidence increased just the same since the expansion in the average per capita income of families in the fifth income group was not enough to deal with the faster rising cost of basic necessities. A closer examination of the distribution revealed that it was mainly the upper income groups that contributed to the increase in the overall per capita income of the region.
- For the rest of the regions, that is, Regions I, II, III, Calabarzon (IV-A), V, VI, VII, XII, CAR and ARMM, poverty incidence improved as the overall per capita income grew at a sufficient rate to cope with the escalating cost of basic necessities in these regions. Moreover, per capita income of families in the lower income groups grew more rapidly than the cost of basic necessities helping them cope better.

**F. GRDP not a welfare measure and should not be compared directly with poverty incidence without examining income patterns among households**

- It must be pointed out that the GRDP is a macro-level measure of the value added of each region to the total economy. It is not a measure of welfare since, for one, it does not give any indication of the distribution of income among households in a given region. In principle

therefore, it should not be compared directly with poverty incidence without examining the income patterns among households, particularly those in the lower income groups.

**Table 6: Poverty Incidence and Per Capita GRDP by Region, 2000 and 2003**

Region	Poverty Incidence (Families)			Per Capita Gross Regional Domestic Product (at constant 1985 prices)		
	2000 Revised	2003	Increase/ Decrease (Levels)	2001*	2003	Increase/ Decrease (%)
<b>PHILIPPINES</b>	<b>27.5</b>	<b>24.7</b>	<b>(2.7)</b>	<b>12,597</b>	<b>13,139</b>	<b>4.3</b>
<b>NCR</b>	5.7	5.0	(0.7)	29,820	30,970	3.9
<b>I</b>	29.4	24.4	(5.0)	6,972	7,284	4.5
<b>II</b>	25.2	19.3	(5.9)	7,881	7,610	(3.4)
<b>III</b>	17.3	13.7	(3.6)	10,525	10,759	2.2
<b>CALABARZON (IV-A)</b>	15.2	14.9	(0.4)	12,632	13,590	7.6
<b>MIMAROPA (IV-B)</b>	36.3	39.7	3.4	12,632	12,317	(2.5)
<b>V</b>	45.3	40.5	(4.8)	5,837	6,273	7.5
<b>VI</b>	36.6	31.3	(5.3)	11,083	11,915	7.5
<b>VII</b>	31.5	23.7	(7.8)	12,026	12,409	3.2
<b>VIII</b>	37.5	35.5	(1.9)	6,169	6,447	4.5
<b>IX</b>	38.5	44.1	5.6	9,349	9,331	(0.2)
<b>X</b>	37.9	37.9	0.0	10,719	13,782	28.6
<b>XI</b>	27.7	28.1	0.4	16,314	12,286	(24.7)
<b>XII</b>	40.7	32.0	(8.7)	7,793	11,110	42.6
<b>CAR</b>	30.7	24.8	(5.9)	17,442	18,114	3.9
<b>ARMM</b>	53.7	45.7	(8.0)	2,909	3,280	12.8
<b>Caraga</b>	43.7	47.3	3.6	6,718	6,607	(1.7)

\* For the following regions, the 2001 per capita GRDP were computed using the old regional configuration:

Region III - excludes Aurora

Region XI - includes South Cotabato and Saranggani

Region IVA - part of Region IV, which includes Aurora

Region XII - includes Lanao del Norte and excludes Saranggani

Region IVB - part of Region IV, which includes Aurora

and South Cotabato

Region IX - includes Basilan

ARMM - excludes Basilan

Region X - excludes Lanao del Norte

For better analysis, the 2001 per capita GRDP was compared with the 2003 figures since the 2000 estimates of per capita GRDP were not linked with the 2003 estimates due to the changes in the data series for the construction sector. The contribution of the construction sector to the 2003 per capita GRDP varies from a low of only 0.52 percent in ARMM to a high of 9.47 percent in Region II.

- Nonetheless, a comparison of the growth trends in per capita GRDP<sup>1</sup> and regional poverty incidence shows that they are consistent in all but two regions.<sup>2</sup> In 11 regions (NCR and Regions I, III, IV-A, V, VI, VII, VIII, XII, CAR, and ARMM) where per capita GRDP increased from 2001 to 2003 with growth rates ranging from 2.2 percent in Region III to 12.8 percent in ARMM, poverty incidence among families also exhibited corresponding improvements, decreasing by as low as 0.4 percent in CALABARZON to as much as 8.7 percent in Region

<sup>1</sup> The 2001 per capita GRDP was compared with the corresponding figures for 2003 instead of those for the year 2000 since the per capita GRDP estimates for the earlier year were not linked with the 2003 figures due to the changes in the data series for the construction sector.

<sup>2</sup> It must likewise be noted that the GRDP data for 2001 and 2003 were estimated using different regional configurations in accordance with the new executive issuances on the country's geographic distribution.

XII during the period 2000-2003. (The considerable increase of 42.6 percent in per capita GRDP in Region XII may largely be due to the sudden change in its regional composition.)

- In four other regions (Regions IV-B, IX, XI, and Caraga), the decreases in per capita GRDP were accompanied by a worsening poverty situation. The decline in per capita GRDP ranged from 0.2 percent in Caraga to 2.5 percent in Region IV-B (the 24.7 percent contraction in Region XI may be partly attributed to the change in regional configuration). On the other hand, corresponding increase in poverty incidence among families varied from 0.4 percent in Region XI to 5.6 percent in Region IX.
- In Region II, per capita GRDP in real terms decreased by 3.4 percent from PhP7,881 in 2001 to PhP7,610 in 2003. However, poverty incidence among families in the region also decreased from 25.2 percent in 2000 to 19.3 percent in 2003, representing a 5.9 percent decrease in poverty. While the simultaneous decline in the two indicators may be viewed by some economists as inconsistent, the decrease in poverty incidence may actually be explained by the increase in the average per capita income of the two lowest income groups where the region's poor belongs (16.0 percent for both the first and second deciles at current prices, and 3.4 percent for the first decile and 3.3 percent for the second decile at constant prices using the CPI as deflator).
- In Region X, on the other hand, per capita GRDP in real terms increased by 28.6 percent from PhP10,719 in 2001 to PhP13,782 in 2003, but poverty incidence among families also increased, although at a very slow rate of less than 0.1 percent, thereby pegging the poverty incidence at about 37.9 percent. The slight worsening of the region's poverty situation may be attributed to the decline in the average per capita income (at constant prices using the CPI as deflator) of the four lowest income groups where the region's poor population is concentrated, especially those closest to the poverty line.

---

For these Notes, inquiries may be made with  
Redencion M. Ignacio or Glenita V. Amoranto at  
telefax number (632) 896-7981 or at their  
e-mail addresses [rm.ignacio@nscb.gov.ph](mailto:rm.ignacio@nscb.gov.ph) / [gv.amoranto@nscb.gov.ph](mailto:gv.amoranto@nscb.gov.ph)

## APPENDIX TABLES

**Table A: Poverty Incidence, Poverty Threshold and REAL Per Capita Income<sup>a</sup> (using the implicit price index as deflator) by Decile, By Region, 2000 and 2003**

Decile	PHILIPPINES			NCR			Region I		
	2000	2003	% inc/dec	2000	2003	% inc/dec	2000	2003	% inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	27.5	24.7	(2.7)	5.7	5.0	(0.7)	29.4	24.4	(5.0)
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	11,451	12,267	7.1	15,693	16,796	7.0	12,685	13,276	4.7
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	28,356	28,899	1.9	59,196	55,328	(6.5)	23,703	25,090	5.9
First decile	5,435	7,501	38.0	14,384	15,109	5.0	6,431	7,188	11.8
Second decile	8,276	11,014	33.1	21,004	22,331	6.3	9,432	10,414	10.4
Third decile	10,795	13,861	28.4	26,182	27,773	6.1	11,583	12,847	10.9
Fourth decile	13,675	16,719	22.3	31,747	32,720	3.1	14,165	15,241	7.6
Fifth decile	17,076	20,047	17.4	38,148	38,723	1.5	16,744	18,135	8.3
Sixth decile	21,557	24,076	11.7	46,073	46,198	0.3	20,222	21,588	6.8
Seventh decile	27,747	29,192	5.2	56,547	56,039	(0.9)	25,422	26,415	3.9
Eighth decile	36,693	37,320	1.7	71,504	71,181	(0.5)	32,324	33,732	4.4
Ninth decile	52,410	51,589	(1.6)	99,912	98,787	(1.1)	44,630	46,497	4.2
Tenth decile	127,068	115,219	(9.3)	258,784	225,195	(13.0)	84,485	92,748	9.8

a/ Real per capita income for 2003 was derived by deflating each family's 2003 nominal per capita income by the provincial implicit price index, which, in turn, was computed by dividing the 2003 provincial poverty threshold by the 2000 provincial poverty threshold.

Sources of data:

Poverty incidence and threshold - NSCB

Nominal per capita income - NSO

**Table A: (cont'd)...**

Decile	Region II			Region III			Region IV-A (Calabarzon)		
	2000	2003	% inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	25.2	19.3	(5.9)	17.3	13.7	(3.6)	15.2	14.9	(0.4)
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	11,128	11,409	2.5	13,760	14,342	4.2	13,657	14,616	7.0
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	22,748	26,425	16.2	29,462	31,126	5.6	35,920	37,027	3.1
First decile	6,274	7,119	13.5	8,890	9,759	9.8	8,520	9,029	6.0
Second decile	8,844	10,041	13.5	13,061	14,173	8.5	13,646	13,730	0.6
Third decile	10,966	12,328	12.4	16,054	17,402	8.4	17,459	17,675	1.2
Fourth decile	12,741	14,607	14.6	19,283	20,789	7.8	21,385	21,982	2.8
Fifth decile	15,252	17,270	13.2	23,107	24,494	6.0	25,770	26,715	3.7
Sixth decile	17,971	20,387	13.4	27,673	28,942	4.6	30,893	32,522	5.3
Seventh decile	21,856	24,909	14.0	32,854	34,358	4.6	37,661	39,763	5.6
Eighth decile	28,765	32,268	12.2	40,253	42,840	6.4	47,783	49,867	4.4
Ninth decile	40,356	45,875	13.7	53,017	56,553	6.7	64,801	68,514	5.7
Tenth decile	86,603	111,481	28.7	95,511	100,924	5.7	136,292	139,980	2.7

**Table A: (cont'd)...**

Decile	Region IV-B (Mimaropa)			Region V			Region VI		
	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	36.3	39.7	3.4	45.3	40.5	(4.8)	36.6	31.3	(5.3)
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	11,995	12,406	3.4	11,372	12,354	8.6	11,313	12,275	8.5
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	19,498	19,274	(1.2)	17,743	19,376	9.2	21,332	21,797	2.2
First decile	5,396	5,262	(2.5)	4,840	4,703	(2.8)	5,431	5,524	1.7
Second decile	7,531	7,544	0.2	6,717	6,743	0.4	7,528	8,093	7.5
Third decile	9,612	9,168	(4.6)	8,157	8,356	2.4	9,242	10,058	8.8
Fourth decile	11,489	10,976	(4.5)	9,555	10,237	7.1	10,904	12,039	10.4
Fifth decile	13,659	13,011	(4.7)	11,212	12,102	7.9	13,011	14,338	10.2
Sixth decile	16,080	15,459	(3.9)	13,442	14,475	7.7	15,956	17,178	7.7
Seventh decile	19,547	18,760	(4.0)	16,337	18,059	10.5	19,943	21,099	5.8
Eighth decile	24,709	24,278	(1.7)	21,288	23,677	11.2	26,428	27,210	3.0
Ninth decile	36,265	34,213	(5.7)	31,744	34,955	10.1	39,568	39,552	(0.0)
Tenth decile	87,743	87,340	(0.5)	76,054	88,613	16.5	95,386	91,360	(4.2)

Table A: (cont'd)...

Decile	Region VII			Region VIII			Region IX		
	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	<b>31.5</b>	<b>23.7</b>	<b>(7.8)</b>	<b>37.5</b>	<b>35.5</b>	<b>(1.9)</b>	<b>38.5</b>	<b>44.1</b>	<b>5.6</b>
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	<b>9,656</b>	<b>9,779</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>9,518</b>	<b>10,802</b>	<b>13.5</b>	<b>9,116</b>	<b>10,414</b>	<b>14.2</b>
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	<b>19,721</b>	<b>24,068</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>18,455</b>	<b>18,410</b>	<b>(0.2)</b>	<b>17,092</b>	<b>17,127</b>	<b>0.2</b>
First decile	4,250	4,853	14.2	4,618	4,814	4.2	3,431	2,939	(14.4)
Second decile	6,413	7,657	19.4	6,464	6,641	2.7	5,369	4,611	(14.1)
Third decile	8,247	9,889	19.9	7,794	8,009	2.8	7,024	5,883	(16.3)
Fourth decile	10,266	12,219	19.0	9,208	9,371	1.8	8,531	7,579	(11.2)
Fifth decile	12,524	15,098	20.6	11,027	11,055	0.2	10,431	9,336	(10.5)
Sixth decile	15,261	18,663	22.3	13,320	13,201	(0.9)	12,993	11,507	(11.4)
Seventh decile	19,151	23,327	21.8	16,305	16,177	(0.8)	16,406	14,853	(9.5)
Eighth decile	25,179	31,086	23.5	21,364	21,113	(1.2)	21,522	19,670	(8.6)
Ninth decile	35,862	45,458	26.8	32,384	31,998	(1.2)	32,113	31,285	(2.6)
Tenth decile	77,084	99,383	28.9	80,917	89,050	10.1	71,723	78,852	9.9

Table A: (cont'd)...

Decile	Region X			Region XI			Region XII		
	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	<b>37.9</b>	<b>37.9</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>27.7</b>	<b>28.1</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>40.7</b>	<b>32.0</b>	<b>(8.7)</b>
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	<b>10,503</b>	<b>11,609</b>	<b>10.5</b>	<b>10,264</b>	<b>11,276</b>	<b>9.9</b>	<b>10,466</b>	<b>11,303</b>	<b>8.0</b>
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	<b>20,685</b>	<b>20,191</b>	<b>(2.4)</b>	<b>21,143</b>	<b>21,277</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>19,562</b>	<b>22,078</b>	<b>12.9</b>
First decile	4,436	4,212	(5.0)	5,102	4,891	(4.2)	4,740	5,225	10.2
Second decile	6,539	6,290	(3.8)	7,537	7,364	(2.3)	6,763	7,485	10.7
Third decile	8,021	8,170	1.8	9,622	9,476	(1.5)	8,186	9,233	12.8
Fourth decile	9,870	9,980	1.1	11,739	11,576	(1.4)	9,577	11,178	16.7
Fifth decile	12,084	12,039	(0.4)	14,061	14,120	0.4	11,482	13,235	15.3
Sixth decile	15,048	14,466	(3.9)	16,912	17,120	1.2	14,161	15,894	12.2
Seventh decile	19,212	18,149	(5.5)	21,464	21,032	(2.0)	17,405	19,376	11.3
Eighth decile	25,724	25,336	(1.5)	28,163	26,777	(4.9)	22,919	24,441	6.6
Ninth decile	37,992	38,869	2.3	39,982	37,512	(6.2)	33,707	34,723	3.0
Tenth decile	89,449	87,509	(2.2)	78,616	89,156	13.4	87,533	108,951	24.5

Table A: (cont'd)...

Decile	CAR			ARMM			CARAGA		
	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	<b>30.7</b>	<b>24.8</b>	<b>(5.9)</b>	<b>53.7</b>	<b>45.7</b>	<b>(8.0)</b>	<b>43.7</b>	<b>47.3</b>	<b>3.6</b>
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	<b>13,066</b>	<b>13,976</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>12,192</b>	<b>12,739</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>10,896</b>	<b>12,000</b>	<b>10.1</b>
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	<b>26,930</b>	<b>30,458</b>	<b>13.1</b>	<b>13,657</b>	<b>15,757</b>	<b>15.4</b>	<b>15,848</b>	<b>16,011</b>	<b>1.0</b>
First decile	5,709	6,581	15.3	5,288	5,651	6.9	4,436	4,376	(1.3)
Second decile	8,868	9,903	11.7	7,286	7,550	3.6	6,354	6,168	(2.9)
Third decile	11,488	13,254	15.4	8,368	9,080	8.5	7,720	7,565	(2.0)
Fourth decile	14,491	16,374	13.0	9,394	10,289	9.5	9,345	8,891	(4.9)
Fifth decile	18,341	21,162	15.4	10,590	11,693	10.4	11,102	10,449	(5.9)
Sixth decile	22,806	26,474	16.1	11,962	13,475	12.6	13,242	12,285	(7.2)
Seventh decile	29,229	33,625	15.0	13,771	15,579	13.1	15,875	15,593	(1.8)
Eighth decile	38,016	44,500	17.1	16,692	19,365	16.0	20,276	20,444	0.8
Ninth decile	54,029	63,494	17.5	21,998	26,108	18.7	28,944	30,518	5.4
Tenth decile	107,380	120,186	11.9	43,218	53,981	24.9	62,882	61,661	(1.9)

**Table B.1: Poverty Incidence, Poverty Threshold and REAL Per Capita Income (using national/regional CPI as deflator) by Decile, By Region, 2000 and 2003**

Decile	PHILIPPINES			NCR			Region I		
	2000	2003	%change	2000	2003	%change	2000	2003	%change
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	27.5	24.7	(2.7)	5.7	5.0	(0.7)	29.4	24.4	(5.0)
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	11,451	12,267	7.1	15,693	16,796	7.0	12,685	13,276	4.7
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	28,356	27,016	(4.7)	59,196	51,195	(13.5)	23,703	23,136	(2.4)
First decile	5,435	5,255	(3.3)	14,384	14,027	(2.5)	6,431	6,640	3.3
Second decile	8,276	8,257	(0.2)	21,004	20,742	(1.2)	9,432	9,617	2.0
Third decile	10,795	10,793	(0.0)	26,182	25,755	(1.6)	11,583	11,862	2.4
Fourth decile	13,675	13,598	(0.6)	31,747	30,361	(4.4)	14,165	14,152	(0.1)
Fifth decile	17,076	16,978	(0.6)	38,148	35,864	(6.0)	16,744	16,684	(0.4)
Sixth decile	21,557	21,348	(1.0)	46,073	42,817	(7.1)	20,222	19,936	(1.4)
Seventh decile	27,747	27,330	(1.5)	56,547	51,853	(8.3)	25,422	24,381	(4.1)
Eighth decile	36,693	35,920	(2.1)	71,504	76,777	7.4	32,324	36,096	11.7
Ninth decile	52,410	51,133	(2.4)	99,912	91,223	(8.7)	44,630	42,947	(3.8)
Tenth decile	127,068	118,117	(7.0)	258,784	207,976	(19.6)	84,485	85,193	0.8

a/ - Derived by dividing the average nominal per capita income for each decile by the national/regional CPI.

Sources of data:

Poverty incidence and threshold - NSCB

Nominal per capita income - NSO

**Table B.1: (cont'd)...**

Decile	Region II			Region III			Region IV-A (CALABARZON)		
	2000	2003	%change	2000	2003	%change	2000	2003	%change
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	25.2	19.3	(5.9)	17.3	13.7	(3.6)	15.2	14.9	(0.4)
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	11,128	11,409	2.5	13,760	14,342	4.2	13,657	14,616	7.0
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	22,748	24,076	5.8	29,462	29,256	(0.7)	35,920	34,200	(4.8)
First decile	6,274	6,486	3.4	8,890	9,057	1.9	8,520	8,371	(1.8)
Second decile	8,844	9,140	3.3	13,061	13,201	1.1	13,646	12,712	(6.8)
Third decile	10,966	11,225	2.4	16,054	16,258	1.3	17,459	16,366	(6.3)
Fourth decile	12,741	13,300	4.4	19,283	19,405	0.6	21,385	20,256	(5.3)
Fifth decile	15,252	15,699	2.9	23,107	23,013	(0.4)	25,770	24,665	(4.3)
Sixth decile	17,971	18,563	3.3	27,673	27,182	(1.8)	30,893	29,943	(3.1)
Seventh decile	21,856	22,721	4.0	32,854	32,314	(1.6)	37,661	36,660	(2.7)
Eighth decile	28,765	33,565	16.7	40,253	44,942	11.7	47,783	53,173	11.3
Ninth decile	40,356	41,762	3.5	53,017	53,282	0.5	64,801	63,299	(2.3)
Tenth decile	86,603	101,824	17.6	95,511	95,485	(0.0)	136,292	129,217	(5.2)

**Table B.1: (cont'd)...**

Decile	Region IV-B (MIMAROPA)			Region V			Region VI		
	2000	2003	%change	2000	2003	%change	2000	2003	%change
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	36.3	39.7	3.4	45.3	40.5	(4.8)	36.6	31.3	(5.3)
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	11,995	12,406	3.4	11,372	12,354	8.6	11,313	12,275	8.5
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	19,498	17,878	(8.3)	17,743	18,587	4.8	21,332	20,727	(2.8)
First decile	5,396	4,903	(9.1)	4,840	4,548	(6.0)	5,431	5,241	(3.5)
Second decile	7,531	7,025	(6.7)	6,717	6,488	(3.4)	7,528	7,693	2.2
Third decile	9,612	8,520	(11.4)	8,157	8,054	(1.3)	9,242	9,542	3.2
Fourth decile	11,489	10,178	(11.4)	9,555	9,825	2.8	10,904	11,460	5.1
Fifth decile	13,659	12,042	(11.8)	11,212	11,682	4.2	13,011	13,625	4.7
Sixth decile	16,080	14,295	(11.1)	13,442	13,906	3.5	15,956	16,358	2.5
Seventh decile	19,547	17,411	(10.9)	16,337	17,276	5.7	19,943	20,073	0.6
Eighth decile	24,709	26,445	7.0	21,288	26,508	24.5	26,428	29,927	13.2
Ninth decile	36,265	31,690	(12.6)	31,744	33,623	5.9	39,568	37,632	(4.9)
Tenth decile	87,743	81,007	(7.7)	76,054	84,801	11.5	95,386	86,807	(9.0)

Table B.1: (cont'd)...

Decile	Region VII			Region VIII			Region IX		
	2000	2003	%change	2000	2003	%change	2000	2003	%change
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	<b>31.5</b>	<b>23.7</b>	<b>(7.8)</b>	<b>37.5</b>	<b>35.5</b>	<b>(1.9)</b>	<b>38.5</b>	<b>44.1</b>	<b>5.6</b>
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	<b>9,656</b>	<b>9,779</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>9,518</b>	<b>10,802</b>	<b>13.5</b>	<b>9,116</b>	<b>10,414</b>	<b>14.2</b>
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	<b>19,721</b>	<b>20,638</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>18,455</b>	<b>18,626</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>17,092</b>	<b>17,642</b>	<b>3.2</b>
First decile	4,250	4,127	<b>(2.9)</b>	4,618	4,874	<b>5.5</b>	3,431	3,055	<b>(11.0)</b>
Second decile	6,413	6,537	<b>1.9</b>	6,464	6,704	<b>3.7</b>	5,369	4,758	<b>(11.4)</b>
Third decile	8,247	8,462	<b>2.6</b>	7,794	8,094	<b>3.8</b>	7,024	6,041	<b>(14.0)</b>
Fourth decile	10,266	10,464	<b>1.9</b>	9,208	9,487	<b>3.0</b>	8,531	7,784	<b>(8.8)</b>
Fifth decile	12,524	12,931	<b>3.2</b>	11,027	11,182	<b>1.4</b>	10,431	9,562	<b>(8.3)</b>
Sixth decile	15,261	16,001	<b>4.8</b>	13,320	13,348	<b>0.2</b>	12,993	11,871	<b>(8.6)</b>
Seventh decile	19,151	20,012	<b>4.5</b>	16,305	16,339	<b>0.2</b>	16,406	15,221	<b>(7.2)</b>
Eighth decile	25,179	31,975	<b>27.0</b>	21,364	25,427	<b>19.0</b>	21,522	24,407	<b>13.4</b>
Ninth decile	35,862	38,988	<b>8.7</b>	32,384	32,411	<b>0.1</b>	32,113	32,436	<b>1.0</b>
Tenth decile	77,084	85,287	<b>10.6</b>	80,917	90,089	<b>11.3</b>	71,723	80,990	<b>12.9</b>

Table B.1: (cont'd)...

Decile	Region X			Region XI			Region XII		
	2000	2003	%change	2000	2003	%change	2000	2003	%change
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	<b>37.9</b>	<b>37.9</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>27.7</b>	<b>28.1</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>40.7</b>	<b>32.0</b>	<b>(8.7)</b>
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	<b>10,503</b>	<b>11,609</b>	<b>10.5</b>	<b>10,264</b>	<b>11,276</b>	<b>9.9</b>	<b>10,466</b>	<b>11,303</b>	<b>8.0</b>
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	<b>20,685</b>	<b>19,538</b>	<b>(5.5)</b>	<b>21,143</b>	<b>21,114</b>	<b>(0.1)</b>	<b>19,562</b>	<b>21,487</b>	<b>9.8</b>
First decile	4,436	4,146	<b>(6.5)</b>	5,102	4,759	<b>(6.7)</b>	4,740	5,002	<b>5.5</b>
Second decile	6,539	6,211	<b>(5.0)</b>	7,537	7,183	<b>(4.7)</b>	6,763	7,188	<b>6.3</b>
Third decile	8,021	7,909	<b>(1.4)</b>	9,622	9,313	<b>(3.2)</b>	8,186	8,854	<b>8.2</b>
Fourth decile	9,870	9,691	<b>(1.8)</b>	11,739	11,384	<b>(3.0)</b>	9,577	10,751	<b>12.3</b>
Fifth decile	12,084	11,746	<b>(2.8)</b>	14,061	13,927	<b>(1.0)</b>	11,482	12,820	<b>11.6</b>
Sixth decile	15,048	14,109	<b>(6.2)</b>	16,912	16,886	<b>(0.2)</b>	14,161	15,387	<b>8.7</b>
Seventh decile	19,212	17,747	<b>(7.6)</b>	21,464	20,717	<b>(3.5)</b>	17,405	18,848	<b>8.3</b>
Eighth decile	25,724	29,601	<b>15.1</b>	28,163	30,679	<b>8.9</b>	22,919	27,310	<b>19.2</b>
Ninth decile	37,992	37,499	<b>(1.3)</b>	39,982	37,299	<b>(6.7)</b>	33,707	33,903	<b>0.6</b>
Tenth decile	89,449	84,164	<b>(5.9)</b>	78,616	89,339	<b>13.6</b>	87,533	106,743	<b>21.9</b>

Table B.1: (cont'd)...

Decile	CAR			ARMM			CARAGA		
	2000	2003	%change	2000	2003	%change	2000	2003	%change
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	<b>30.7</b>	<b>24.8</b>	<b>(5.9)</b>	<b>53.7</b>	<b>45.7</b>	<b>(8.0)</b>	<b>43.7</b>	<b>47.3</b>	<b>3.6</b>
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	<b>13,066</b>	<b>13,976</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>12,192</b>	<b>12,739</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>10,896</b>	<b>12,000</b>	<b>10.1</b>
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	<b>26,930</b>	<b>27,717</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>13,657</b>	<b>14,302</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>15,848</b>	<b>15,631</b>	<b>(1.4)</b>
First decile	5,709	6,114	<b>7.1</b>	5,288	5,094	<b>(3.7)</b>	4,436	4,285	<b>(3.4)</b>
Second decile	8,868	9,202	<b>3.8</b>	7,286	6,928	<b>(4.9)</b>	6,354	6,037	<b>(5.0)</b>
Third decile	11,488	12,188	<b>6.1</b>	8,368	8,298	<b>(0.8)</b>	7,720	7,416	<b>(3.9)</b>
Fourth decile	14,491	15,089	<b>4.1</b>	9,394	9,574	<b>1.9</b>	9,345	8,767	<b>(6.2)</b>
Fifth decile	18,341	19,301	<b>5.2</b>	10,590	10,776	<b>1.8</b>	11,102	10,201	<b>(8.1)</b>
Sixth decile	22,806	24,241	<b>6.3</b>	11,962	12,455	<b>4.1</b>	13,242	12,039	<b>(9.1)</b>
Seventh decile	29,229	30,779	<b>5.3</b>	13,771	14,399	<b>4.6</b>	15,875	15,158	<b>(4.5)</b>
Eighth decile	38,016	47,757	<b>25.6</b>	16,692	20,017	<b>19.9</b>	20,276	23,367	<b>15.2</b>
Ninth decile	54,029	57,467	<b>6.4</b>	21,998	23,626	<b>7.4</b>	28,944	29,695	<b>2.6</b>
Tenth decile	107,380	108,183	<b>0.7</b>	43,218	47,930	<b>10.9</b>	62,882	60,302	<b>(4.1)</b>

**Table B.2: Poverty Incidence, Poverty Threshold and REAL Per Capita Income<sup>a</sup> (using provincial CPI as deflator) by Decile, By Region, 2000 and 2003**

Decile	PHILIPPINES			NCR			Region I		
	2000	2003	%change	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	27.5	24.7	(2.7)	5.7	5.0	(0.7)	29.4	24.4	(5.0)
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	11,451	12,267	7.1	15,693	16,796	7.0	12,685	13,276	4.7
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	28,356	27,104	(4.4)	59,196	51,330	(13.3)	23,703	23,146	(2.3)
First decile	5,435	5,295	(2.6)	14,384	14,064	(2.2)	6,431	6,653	3.5
Second decile	8,276	8,307	0.4	21,004	20,797	(1.0)	9,432	9,640	2.2
Third decile	10,795	10,848	0.5	26,182	25,822	(1.4)	11,583	11,876	2.5
Fourth decile	13,675	13,657	(0.1)	31,747	30,441	(4.1)	14,165	14,196	0.2
Fifth decile	17,076	17,057	(0.1)	38,148	35,958	(5.7)	16,744	16,708	(0.2)
Sixth decile	21,557	21,458	(0.5)	46,073	42,929	(6.8)	20,222	19,969	(1.3)
Seventh decile	27,747	27,439	(1.1)	56,547	51,989	(8.1)	25,422	24,385	(4.1)
Eighth decile	36,693	36,051	(1.8)	71,504	66,077	(7.6)	32,324	31,125	(3.7)
Ninth decile	52,410	51,296	(2.1)	99,912	91,462	(8.5)	44,630	43,031	(3.6)
Tenth decile	127,068	118,250	(6.9)	258,784	208,521	(19.4)	84,485	85,005	0.6

a/ Each family's nominal per capita income was deflated by the provincial CPI  
Sources of data:

Poverty incidence and threshold - NSCB  
Nominal per capita income - NSO

**Table B.2: (cont'd)...**

Decile	Region II			Region III			Region IV-A (Calabarzon)		
	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	25.2	19.3	(5.9)	17.3	13.7	(3.6)	15.2	14.9	(0.4)
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	11,128	11,409	2.5	13,760	14,342	4.2	13,657	14,616	7.0
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	22,748	24,107	6.0	29,462	29,290	(0.6)	35,920	34,194	(4.8)
First decile	6,274	6,466	3.1	8,890	9,033	1.6	8,520	8,268	(3.0)
Second decile	8,844	9,122	3.1	13,061	13,150	0.7	13,646	12,629	(7.5)
Third decile	10,966	11,235	2.5	16,054	16,211	1.0	17,459	16,327	(6.5)
Fourth decile	12,741	13,284	4.3	19,283	19,382	0.5	21,385	20,240	(5.4)
Fifth decile	15,252	15,695	2.9	23,107	23,060	(0.2)	25,770	24,629	(4.4)
Sixth decile	17,971	18,569	3.3	27,673	27,236	(1.6)	30,893	29,957	(3.0)
Seventh decile	21,856	22,722	4.0	32,854	32,459	(1.2)	37,661	36,710	(2.5)
Eighth decile	28,765	29,286	1.8	40,253	40,394	0.4	47,783	46,229	(3.3)
Ninth decile	40,356	41,826	3.6	53,017	53,394	0.7	64,801	63,484	(2.0)
Tenth decile	86,603	102,184	18.0	95,511	95,628	0.1	136,292	129,259	(5.2)

**Table B.2: (cont'd)...**

Decile	Region IV-B (Mimaropa)			Region V			Region VI		
	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	36.3	39.7	3.4	45.3	40.5	(4.8)	36.6	31.3	(5.3)
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	11,995	12,406	3.4	11,372	12,354	8.6	11,313	12,275	8.5
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	19,498	17,838	(8.5)	17,743	18,597	4.8	21,332	20,937	(1.9)
First decile	5,396	4,932	(8.6)	4,840	4,555	(5.9)	5,431	5,281	(2.8)
Second decile	7,531	7,067	(6.2)	6,717	6,494	(3.3)	7,528	7,746	2.9
Third decile	9,612	8,552	(11.0)	8,157	8,074	(1.0)	9,242	9,590	3.8
Fourth decile	11,489	10,214	(11.1)	9,555	9,839	3.0	10,904	11,569	6.1
Fifth decile	13,659	11,995	(12.2)	11,212	11,720	4.5	13,011	13,747	5.7
Sixth decile	16,080	14,214	(11.6)	13,442	13,923	3.6	15,956	16,597	4.0
Seventh decile	19,547	17,313	(11.4)	16,337	17,272	5.7	19,943	20,291	1.7
Eighth decile	24,709	22,564	(8.7)	21,288	22,613	6.2	26,428	26,325	(0.4)
Ninth decile	36,265	31,524	(13.1)	31,744	33,657	6.0	39,568	38,040	(3.9)
Tenth decile	87,743	80,683	(8.0)	76,054	84,757	11.4	95,386	87,584	(8.2)

Table B.2: (cont'd)...

Decile	Region VII			Region VIII			Region IX		
	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	31.5	23.7	(7.8)	37.5	35.5	(1.9)	38.5	44.1	5.6
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	9,656	9,779	1.3	9,518	10,802	13.5	9,116	10,414	14.2
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	19,721	20,625	4.6	18,455	18,616	0.9	17,092	17,715	3.6
First decile	4,250	4,137	(2.7)	4,618	4,876	5.6	3,431	3,064	(10.7)
Second decile	6,413	6,563	2.3	6,464	6,701	3.7	5,369	4,775	(11.1)
Third decile	8,247	8,479	2.8	7,794	8,082	3.7	7,024	6,063	(13.7)
Fourth decile	10,266	10,484	2.1	9,208	9,474	2.9	8,531	7,812	(8.4)
Fifth decile	12,524	12,938	3.3	11,027	11,178	1.4	10,431	9,597	(8.0)
Sixth decile	15,261	16,004	4.9	13,320	13,338	0.1	12,993	11,921	(8.3)
Seventh decile	19,151	20,014	4.5	16,305	16,332	0.2	16,406	15,258	(7.0)
Eighth decile	25,179	26,684	6.0	21,364	21,399	0.2	21,522	20,468	(4.9)
Ninth decile	35,862	38,927	8.5	32,384	32,425	0.1	32,113	32,575	1.4
Tenth decile	77,084	85,121	10.4	80,917	89,990	11.2	71,723	81,368	13.4

Table B.2: (cont'd)...

Decile	Region X			Region XI			Region XII		
	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	37.9	37.9	0.0	27.7	28.1	0.4	40.7	32.0	(8.7)
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	10,503	11,609	10.5	10,264	11,276	9.9	10,466	11,303	8.0
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	20,685	19,629	(5.1)	21,143	21,267	0.6	19,562	21,439	9.6
First decile	4,436	4,158	(6.3)	5,102	4,782	(6.3)	4,740	4,966	4.8
Second decile	6,539	6,210	(5.0)	7,537	7,211	(4.3)	6,763	7,136	5.5
Third decile	8,021	7,959	(0.8)	9,622	9,356	(2.8)	8,186	8,787	7.3
Fourth decile	9,870	9,733	(1.4)	11,739	11,450	(2.5)	9,577	10,685	11.6
Fifth decile	12,084	11,778	(2.5)	14,061	14,011	(0.4)	11,482	12,766	11.2
Sixth decile	15,048	14,130	(6.1)	16,912	17,032	0.7	14,161	15,329	8.2
Seventh decile	19,212	17,728	(7.7)	21,464	20,878	(2.7)	17,405	18,806	8.1
Eighth decile	25,724	24,515	(4.7)	28,163	26,822	(4.8)	22,919	23,725	3.5
Ninth decile	37,992	37,634	(0.9)	39,982	37,581	(6.0)	33,707	33,872	0.5
Tenth decile	89,449	84,812	(5.2)	78,616	90,071	14.6	87,533	106,721	21.9

Table B.2: (cont'd)...

Decile	CAR			ARMM			CARAGA		
	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	30.7	24.8	(5.9)	53.7	45.7	(8.0)	43.7	47.3	3.6
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	13,066	13,976	7.0	12,192	12,739	4.5	10,896	12,000	10.1
<b>Real Average Per Capita Income</b>	26,930	28,620	6.3	13,657	14,279	4.6	15,848	15,632	(1.4)
First decile	5,709	6,065	6.2	5,288	5,174	(2.2)	4,436	4,299	(3.1)
Second decile	8,868	9,179	3.5	7,286	7,033	(3.5)	6,354	6,044	(4.9)
Third decile	11,488	12,309	7.1	8,368	8,359	(0.1)	7,720	7,433	(3.7)
Fourth decile	14,491	15,292	5.5	9,394	9,630	2.5	9,345	8,739	(6.5)
Fifth decile	18,341	19,762	7.7	10,590	10,762	1.6	11,102	10,243	(7.7)
Sixth decile	22,806	24,725	8.4	11,962	12,440	4.0	13,242	12,030	(9.2)
Seventh decile	29,229	31,599	8.1	13,771	14,335	4.1	15,875	15,195	(4.3)
Eighth decile	38,016	42,019	10.5	16,692	17,443	4.5	20,276	19,857	(2.1)
Ninth decile	54,029	59,963	11.0	21,998	23,487	6.8	28,944	29,671	2.5
Tenth decile	107,380	113,551	5.7	43,218	47,584	10.1	62,882	60,170	(4.3)

**Table C: Poverty Incidence, Poverty Threshold and REAL Average Family Income<sup>a</sup> (using the implicit price index as deflator) by Decile, By Region, 2000 and 2003**

Decile	PHILIPPINES			NCR			Region 1		
	2000	2003	%change	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	27.5	24.7	(2.7)	5.7	5.0	(0.7)	29.4	24.4	(5.0)
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	11,451	12,267	7.1	15,693	16,796	7.0	12,685	13,276	4.7
<b>Real Average Family Income</b>	145,121	139,253	(4.0)	300,304	258,301	(14.0)	120,898	119,603	(1.1)
First decile	24,501	33,439	36.5	70,870	70,391	(0.7)	29,292	30,785	5.1
Second decile	39,614	50,042	26.3	101,880	98,554	(3.3)	44,503	45,513	2.3
Third decile	51,247	61,736	20.5	125,736	118,335	(5.9)	54,331	56,449	3.9
Fourth decile	64,227	73,585	14.6	151,593	139,256	(8.1)	64,985	66,589	2.5
Fifth decile	80,242	87,223	8.7	178,670	163,967	(8.2)	78,832	79,588	1.0
Sixth decile	100,545	103,414	2.9	211,087	192,013	(9.0)	92,899	95,572	2.9
Seventh decile	128,198	125,225	(2.3)	255,893	230,875	(9.8)	111,540	113,372	1.6
Eighth decile	169,285	158,414	(6.4)	316,838	289,516	(8.6)	145,608	141,880	(2.6)
Ninth decile	237,021	219,572	(7.4)	432,154	394,526	(8.7)	199,340	192,078	(3.6)
Tenth decile	556,277	478,915	(13.9)	1,157,872	884,624	(23.6)	386,391	373,350	(3.4)

a/ Real average family income for 2003 was derived by deflating each family's 2003 nominal family income by the provincial implicit price index, which, in turn, was computed by dividing the 2003 provincial poverty threshold by the 2000 provincial poverty threshold.

Sources of data:

Poverty incidence and threshold - NSCB  
Nominal family income - NSO

**Table C: (cont'd)...**

Decile	Region II			Region III			Region IV-A (CALABARZON)		
	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	25.2	19.3	(5.9)	17.3	13.7	(3.6)	15.2	14.9	(0.4)
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	11,128	11,409	2.5	13,760	14,342	4.2	13,657	14,616	7.0
<b>Real Average Family Income</b>	108,427	121,348	11.9	150,504	150,978	0.3	178,600	174,929	(2.1)
First decile	26,089	30,055	15.2	40,302	42,301	5.0	38,054	38,484	1.1
Second decile	39,370	43,061	9.4	62,195	63,881	2.7	62,585	62,917	0.5
Third decile	48,236	52,518	8.9	77,560	79,322	2.3	81,941	79,298	(3.2)
Fourth decile	58,132	62,401	7.3	93,440	93,941	0.5	100,231	96,043	(4.2)
Fifth decile	67,298	72,687	8.0	108,396	109,611	1.1	118,223	115,502	(2.3)
Sixth decile	79,263	84,995	7.2	126,865	129,102	1.8	141,101	139,135	(1.4)
Seventh decile	94,997	100,854	6.2	151,057	152,126	0.7	172,772	170,575	(1.3)
Eighth decile	121,674	129,491	6.4	183,434	186,942	1.9	211,013	212,906	0.9
Ninth decile	174,065	184,656	6.1	238,046	243,260	2.2	279,002	277,922	(0.4)
Tenth decile	374,213	452,102	20.8	423,592	408,675	(3.5)	580,050	556,201	(4.1)

**Table C: (cont'd)...**

Decile	Region IV-B (MIMAROPA)			Region V			Region VI		
	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	36.3	39.7	3.4	45.3	40.5	(4.8)	36.6	31.3	(5.3)
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	11,995	12,406	3.4	11,372	12,354	8.6	11,313	12,275	8.5
<b>Real Average Family Income</b>	99,438	93,310	(6.2)	95,299	98,549	3.4	109,600	104,259	(4.9)
First decile	25,497	22,825	(10.5)	22,000	21,563	(2.0)	23,159	24,439	5.5
Second decile	38,520	33,542	(12.9)	32,651	32,849	0.6	35,853	36,596	2.1
Third decile	47,140	41,469	(12.0)	40,467	40,371	(0.2)	44,726	44,319	(0.9)
Fourth decile	54,528	49,201	(9.8)	47,328	47,659	0.7	52,600	52,730	0.2
Fifth decile	62,144	58,057	(6.6)	56,028	55,539	(0.9)	62,125	61,954	(0.3)
Sixth decile	73,704	68,253	(7.4)	66,029	65,171	(1.3)	73,728	72,468	(1.7)
Seventh decile	88,064	81,925	(7.0)	78,955	79,802	1.1	90,353	87,655	(3.0)
Eighth decile	113,026	100,779	(10.8)	102,679	103,583	0.9	115,906	112,701	(2.8)
Ninth decile	159,084	140,238	(11.8)	151,251	156,142	3.2	177,332	164,764	(7.1)
Tenth decile	330,459	335,960	1.7	355,304	382,136	7.6	419,287	383,957	(8.4)

Table C: (cont'd)...

Decile	Region VII			Region VIII			Region IX		
	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	<b>31.5</b>	<b>23.7</b>	<b>(7.8)</b>	<b>37.5</b>	<b>35.5</b>	<b>(1.9)</b>	<b>38.5</b>	<b>44.1</b>	<b>5.6</b>
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	<b>9,656</b>	<b>9,779</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>9,518</b>	<b>10,802</b>	<b>13.5</b>	<b>9,116</b>	<b>10,414</b>	<b>14.2</b>
<b>Real Average Family Income</b>	<b>99,531</b>	<b>115,576</b>	<b>16.1</b>	<b>91,520</b>	<b>91,849</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>88,214</b>	<b>84,614</b>	<b>(4.1)</b>
First decile	16,508	18,923	<b>14.6</b>	17,892	19,998	<b>11.8</b>	15,972	12,570	<b>(21.3)</b>
Second decile	28,293	32,619	<b>15.3</b>	29,048	30,366	<b>4.5</b>	25,658	20,689	<b>(19.4)</b>
Third decile	36,877	42,852	<b>16.2</b>	36,041	37,855	<b>5.0</b>	34,136	27,439	<b>(19.6)</b>
Fourth decile	45,444	53,444	<b>17.6</b>	42,117	44,027	<b>4.5</b>	41,122	33,953	<b>(17.4)</b>
Fifth decile	56,771	66,361	<b>16.9</b>	49,306	50,929	<b>3.3</b>	49,068	41,767	<b>(14.9)</b>
Sixth decile	70,334	81,854	<b>16.4</b>	57,451	58,992	<b>2.7</b>	59,626	51,351	<b>(13.9)</b>
Seventh decile	88,929	103,642	<b>16.5</b>	69,567	71,522	<b>2.8</b>	72,206	64,254	<b>(11.0)</b>
Eighth decile	116,935	136,044	<b>16.3</b>	91,531	92,113	<b>0.6</b>	95,895	85,725	<b>(10.6)</b>
Ninth decile	167,799	194,270	<b>15.8</b>	145,906	138,935	<b>(4.8)</b>	151,995	141,839	<b>(6.7)</b>
Tenth decile	366,851	424,719	<b>15.8</b>	373,947	372,490	<b>(0.4)</b>	334,282	365,845	<b>9.4</b>

Table C: (cont'd)...

Decile	Region X			Region XI			Region 12		
	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	<b>37.9</b>	<b>37.9</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>27.7</b>	<b>28.1</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>40.7</b>	<b>32.0</b>	<b>(8.7)</b>
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	<b>10,503</b>	<b>11,609</b>	<b>10.5</b>	<b>10,264</b>	<b>11,276</b>	<b>9.9</b>	<b>10,466</b>	<b>11,303</b>	<b>8.0</b>
<b>Real Average Family Income</b>	<b>108,480</b>	<b>97,251</b>	<b>(10.4)</b>	<b>107,976</b>	<b>101,874</b>	<b>(5.7)</b>	<b>102,707</b>	<b>106,987</b>	<b>4.2</b>
First decile	20,477	18,764	<b>(8.4)</b>	23,696	20,688	<b>(12.7)</b>	22,253	23,240	<b>4.4</b>
Second decile	31,552	28,552	<b>(9.5)</b>	35,262	32,280	<b>(8.5)</b>	34,145	33,858	<b>(0.8)</b>
Third decile	39,774	35,280	<b>(11.3)</b>	43,839	41,317	<b>(5.8)</b>	41,552	41,586	<b>0.1</b>
Fourth decile	48,818	42,438	<b>(13.1)</b>	54,006	51,104	<b>(5.4)</b>	47,511	49,750	<b>4.7</b>
Fifth decile	58,548	52,415	<b>(10.5)</b>	66,687	60,520	<b>(9.2)</b>	55,960	58,911	<b>5.3</b>
Sixth decile	70,801	63,602	<b>(10.2)</b>	80,096	72,548	<b>(9.4)</b>	66,702	69,333	<b>3.9</b>
Seventh decile	90,514	79,514	<b>(12.2)</b>	98,933	89,771	<b>(9.3)</b>	81,339	81,771	<b>0.5</b>
Eighth decile	121,051	105,394	<b>(12.9)</b>	130,961	115,006	<b>(12.2)</b>	107,002	104,757	<b>(2.1)</b>
Ninth decile	181,362	165,477	<b>(8.8)</b>	180,873	159,271	<b>(11.9)</b>	159,226	155,075	<b>(2.6)</b>
Tenth decile	421,086	379,067	<b>(10.0)</b>	364,201	375,289	<b>3.0</b>	410,456	450,983	<b>9.9</b>

Table C: (cont'd)...

Decile	CAR			ARMM			CARAGA		
	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	<b>30.7</b>	<b>24.8</b>	<b>(5.9)</b>	<b>53.7</b>	<b>45.7</b>	<b>(8.0)</b>	<b>43.7</b>	<b>47.3</b>	<b>3.6</b>
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	<b>13,066</b>	<b>13,976</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>12,192</b>	<b>12,739</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>10,896</b>	<b>12,000</b>	<b>10.1</b>
<b>Real Average Family Income</b>	<b>139,613</b>	<b>150,562</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>79,110</b>	<b>81,171</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>81,519</b>	<b>79,332</b>	<b>(2.7)</b>
First decile	23,942	29,726	<b>24.2</b>	27,800	27,853	<b>0.2</b>	20,443	19,859	<b>(2.9)</b>
Second decile	39,936	47,530	<b>19.0</b>	40,462	36,967	<b>(8.6)</b>	30,222	28,836	<b>(4.6)</b>
Third decile	54,121	60,161	<b>11.2</b>	47,280	43,277	<b>(8.5)</b>	36,335	35,298	<b>(2.9)</b>
Fourth decile	68,523	74,635	<b>8.9</b>	53,077	48,663	<b>(8.3)</b>	42,944	41,342	<b>(3.7)</b>
Fifth decile	86,435	91,622	<b>6.0</b>	59,231	54,696	<b>(7.7)</b>	50,373	47,323	<b>(6.1)</b>
Sixth decile	107,096	113,840	<b>6.3</b>	65,724	62,006	<b>(5.7)</b>	60,634	55,799	<b>(8.0)</b>
Seventh decile	134,793	145,592	<b>8.0</b>	74,191	71,344	<b>(3.8)</b>	75,383	67,742	<b>(10.1)</b>
Eighth decile	171,793	193,205	<b>12.5</b>	87,981	88,227	<b>0.3</b>	95,527	87,488	<b>(8.4)</b>
Ninth decile	240,376	261,457	<b>8.8</b>	111,241	119,210	<b>7.2</b>	131,322	133,370	<b>1.6</b>
Tenth decile	467,982	485,435	<b>3.7</b>	223,822	258,704	<b>15.6</b>	270,917	275,775	<b>1.8</b>

**Table D: Poverty Incidence, Poverty Threshold, and NOMINAL Average Per Capita Income by Region and by Decile, 2000 and 2003**

Decile	PHILIPPINES			NCR			Region I		
	2000	2003	% inc/dec	2000	2003	% inc/dec	2000	2003	% inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	27.5	24.7	(2.7)	5.7	5.0	(0.7)	29.4	24.4	(5.0)
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	11,451	12,267	7.1	15,693	16,796	7.0	12,685	13,276	4.7
<b>Nominal Average Per Capita Income</b>	28,356	30,772	8.5	59,196	58,772	(0.72)	23,703	26,120	10.2
First decile	5,435	5,986	10.1	14,384	16,103	12.0	6,431	7,497	16.6
Second decile	8,276	9,405	13.6	21,004	23,812	13.4	9,432	10,858	15.1
Third decile	10,795	12,293	13.9	26,182	29,566	12.9	11,583	13,392	15.6
Fourth decile	13,675	15,488	13.3	31,747	34,855	9.8	14,165	15,978	12.8
Fifth decile	17,076	19,338	13.2	38,148	41,172	7.9	16,744	18,836	12.5
Sixth decile	21,557	24,315	12.8	46,073	49,153	6.7	20,222	22,508	11.3
Seventh decile	27,747	31,129	12.2	56,547	59,527	5.3	25,422	27,526	8.3
Eighth decile	36,693	40,913	11.5	71,504	88,140	23.3	32,324	40,752	26.1
Ninth decile	52,410	58,240	11.1	99,912	104,724	4.8	44,630	48,488	8.6
Tenth decile	127,068	134,535	5.9	258,784	238,756	(7.7)	84,485	96,183	13.8

Sources of data:

Poverty incidence and threshold - NSCB  
Nominal per capita income - NSO

**Table D: (cont'd)...**

Decile	Region II			Region III			Region IV-A (Calabarzon)		
	2000	2003	% inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	25.2	19.3	(5.9)	17.3	13.7	(3.6)	15.2	14.9	(0.4)
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	11,128	11,409	2.5	13,760	14,342	4.2	13,657	14,616	7.0
<b>Nominal Average Per Capita Income</b>	22,748	27,014	18.8	29,462	32,562	10.5	35,920	39,227	9.2
First decile	6,274	7,277	16.0	8,890	10,081	13.4	8,520	9,601	12.7
Second decile	8,844	10,255	16.0	13,061	14,692	12.5	13,646	14,581	6.9
Third decile	10,966	12,594	14.9	16,054	18,095	12.7	17,459	18,772	7.5
Fourth decile	12,741	14,922	17.1	19,283	21,598	12.0	21,385	23,234	8.6
Fifth decile	15,252	17,614	15.5	23,107	25,613	10.8	25,770	28,291	9.8
Sixth decile	17,971	20,828	15.9	27,673	30,254	9.3	30,893	34,345	11.2
Seventh decile	21,856	25,493	16.6	32,854	35,966	9.5	37,661	42,049	11.7
Eighth decile	28,765	37,660	30.9	40,253	50,021	24.3	47,783	60,990	27.6
Ninth decile	40,356	46,857	16.1	53,017	59,303	11.9	64,801	72,604	12.0
Tenth decile	86,603	114,246	31.9	95,511	106,275	11.3	136,292	148,212	8.7

**Table D: (cont'd)...**

Decile	Region IV-B (Mimaropa)			Region V			Region VI		
	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	36.3	39.7	3.4	45.3	40.5	(4.8)	36.6	31.3	(5.3)
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	11,995	12,406	3.4	11,372	12,354	8.6	11,313	12,275	8.5
<b>Nominal Average Per Capita Income</b>	19,498	20,112	3.1	17,743	20,984	18.3	21,332	23,546	10.4
First decile	5,396	5,516	2.2	4,840	5,135	6.1	5,431	5,954	9.6
Second decile	7,531	7,903	4.9	6,717	7,325	9.1	7,528	8,739	16.1
Third decile	9,612	9,585	(0.3)	8,157	9,093	11.5	9,242	10,840	17.3
Fourth decile	11,489	11,450	(0.3)	9,555	11,092	16.1	10,904	13,018	19.4
Fifth decile	13,659	13,547	(0.8)	11,212	13,189	17.6	13,011	15,477	19.0
Sixth decile	16,080	16,082	0.0	13,442	15,700	16.8	15,956	18,583	16.5
Seventh decile	19,547	19,587	0.2	16,337	19,504	19.4	19,943	22,803	14.3
Eighth decile	24,709	29,751	20.4	21,288	29,928	40.6	26,428	33,997	28.6
Ninth decile	36,265	35,651	(1.7)	31,744	37,960	19.6	39,568	42,750	8.0
Tenth decile	87,743	91,133	3.9	76,054	95,740	25.9	95,386	98,613	3.4

Table D: (cont'd)...

Decile	Region VII			Region VIII			Region IX		
	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	31.5	23.7	(7.8)	37.5	35.5	(1.9)	38.5	44.1	5.6
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	9,656	9,779	1.3	9,518	10,802	13.5	9,116	10,414	14.2
<b>Nominal Average Per Capita Income</b>	19,721	24,704	25.3	18,455	20,862	13.0	17,092	19,424	13.6
First decile	4,250	4,940	16.2	4,618	5,459	18.2	3,431	3,363	(2.0)
Second decile	6,413	7,825	22.0	6,464	7,508	16.2	5,369	5,238	(2.4)
Third decile	8,247	10,129	22.8	7,794	9,065	16.3	7,024	6,651	(5.3)
Fourth decile	10,266	12,526	22.0	9,208	10,625	15.4	8,531	8,570	0.5
Fifth decile	12,524	15,478	23.6	11,027	12,523	13.6	10,431	10,528	0.9
Sixth decile	15,261	19,153	25.5	13,320	14,949	12.2	12,993	13,070	0.6
Seventh decile	19,151	23,955	25.1	16,305	18,300	12.2	16,406	16,758	2.1
Eighth decile	25,179	38,274	52.0	21,364	28,479	33.3	21,522	26,873	24.9
Ninth decile	35,862	46,669	30.1	32,384	36,300	12.1	32,113	35,712	11.2
Tenth decile	77,084	102,089	32.4	80,917	100,900	24.7	71,723	89,170	24.3

Table D: (cont'd)...

Decile	Region X			Region XI			Region XII		
	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	37.9	37.9	0.0	27.7	28.1	0.4	40.7	32.0	(8.7)
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	10,503	11,609	10.5	10,264	11,276	9.9	10,466	11,303	8.0
<b>Nominal Average Per Capita Income</b>	20,685	22,195	7.3	21,143	23,816	12.6	19,562	23,915	22.3
First decile	4,436	4,710	6.2	5,102	5,368	5.2	4,740	5,568	17.5
Second decile	6,539	7,056	7.9	7,537	8,102	7.5	6,763	8,000	18.3
Third decile	8,021	8,985	12.0	9,622	10,505	9.2	8,186	9,855	20.4
Fourth decile	9,870	11,009	11.5	11,739	12,841	9.4	9,577	11,966	24.9
Fifth decile	12,084	13,344	10.4	14,061	15,710	11.7	11,482	14,268	24.3
Sixth decile	15,048	16,028	6.5	16,912	19,047	12.6	14,161	17,126	20.9
Seventh decile	19,212	20,161	4.9	21,464	23,368	8.9	17,405	20,977	20.5
Eighth decile	25,724	33,626	30.7	28,163	34,606	22.9	22,919	30,396	32.6
Ninth decile	37,992	42,599	12.1	39,982	42,074	5.2	33,707	37,734	11.9
Tenth decile	89,449	95,610	6.9	78,616	100,775	28.2	87,533	118,805	35.7

Table D: (cont'd)...

Decile	CAR			ARMM			CARAGA		
	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec	2000	2003	%inc/dec
<b>Poverty Incidence</b>	30.7	24.8	(5.9)	53.7	45.7	(8.0)	43.7	47.3	3.6
<b>Per Capita Poverty Threshold</b>	13,066	13,976	7.0	12,192	12,739	4.5	10,896	12,000	10.1
<b>Nominal Average Per Capita Income</b>	26,930	31,929	18.6	13,657	16,404	20.1	15,848	17,523	10.6
First decile	5,709	7,043	23.4	5,288	5,843	10.5	4,436	4,803	8.3
Second decile	8,868	10,601	19.5	7,286	7,947	9.1	6,354	6,767	6.5
Third decile	11,488	14,041	22.2	8,368	9,517	13.7	7,720	8,314	7.7
Fourth decile	14,491	17,382	19.9	9,394	10,981	16.9	9,345	9,827	5.2
Fifth decile	18,341	22,235	21.2	10,590	12,360	16.7	11,102	11,436	3.0
Sixth decile	22,806	27,926	22.4	11,962	14,286	19.4	13,242	13,496	1.9
Seventh decile	29,229	35,458	21.3	13,771	16,515	19.9	15,875	16,992	7.0
Eighth decile	38,016	55,016	44.7	16,692	22,960	37.5	20,276	26,194	29.2
Ninth decile	54,029	66,202	22.5	21,998	27,099	23.2	28,944	33,288	15.0
Tenth decile	107,380	124,626	16.1	43,218	54,976	27.2	62,882	67,599	7.5